## LECTURE 4

Representation learning for text mining

## WORD EMBEDDING

## **GOAL OF WORD EMBEDDING**

- Up until now we've represented words as an index in a vocabulary
- The goal of word embedding is to learn representations of words that capture their semantic
  - ► The vocabulary = a vector space  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ 
    - ► Each word is represented by a vector  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$
    - Similar words have similar vector representations, *i.e.* are close in this space
  - Some linguistic operations = a linear function of word vectors

## SOME APPLICATIONS OF WORD EMBEDDING

- Supervised text classification
  - A document can be represented with a sequence of word vectors, which preserve word order and word meaning
  - A Long-Short Term Memory Recurrent Neural Network (LSTM) can deal with this kind of representation
- ► Query expansion
  - ► A query is a sequence of words
  - Add new relevant words to the query by interpreting the composition of the words

### **DISTRIBUTIONAL SEMANTICS**

# You shall know a word by the company it keeps.

-John Firth Studies in Linguistic Analysis, 1957

Text mining @ UdelaR - <u>http://mediamining.univ-lyon2.fr/people/guille/tm\_udelar/</u> - Oct. 2018

## **DISTRIBUTIONAL SEMANTICS**

- Distributional hypothesis
  - Words with similar meanings tend to appear in similar contexts
  - Word cooccurrence frequency
- ► Word embedding
  - Dense representations of words
  - Low-dimension vector space

# SKIP-GRAM WITH NEGATIVE SAMPLING

### MODEL

#### ► Data

- $\succ$  C: a raw textual corpus using a vocabulary of *m* words
- ► Embeddings
  - ►  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  : target embeddings
  - ►  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  : context embeddings
- Conditional probability of word *i* occurring, given word *j* is in its context

$$p(w_i | w_j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-u_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_j}}$$
$$= \sigma(u_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_j)$$

## PARAMETER ESTIMATION

Maximum likelihood estimation

► 
$$\mathscr{L}(D; U, V) = \prod_{(i,j) \in D} \sigma(u_i^\top v_j)$$

Maximizing the log-likelihood is equivalent because the log is a monotonic, increasing function

► 
$$U^*, V^* = \operatorname{argmax}\left(\prod_{(i,j)\in D} \sigma(u_i^\top v_j)\right) = \operatorname{argmax}\left(\sum_{(i,j)\in D} \log \sigma(u_i^\top v_j)\right)$$
  
This problem admits a trivial solution

We can set all coefficients of U and V to a large enough constant, so that all the words have the same representations, because it leads to large dot-products, and thus conditional probabilities very close to 1

## PARAMETER ESTIMATION

Maximum likelihood estimation

► Add a negative sampling term

► 
$$U^*, V^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{U,V} \left( \sum_{(i,j)\in D} \left( \log \sigma(u_i^\top v_j) + k \mathbb{E}_{j' \sim q(j')} [\log \sigma(-u_i^\top v_{j'})] \right) \right)$$
  
► Where *q* is the noise distribution:  $q(j') \propto f(j')^{\frac{3}{4}}$ 

- For every pair of co-occurring words (*i*,*j*), randomly sample k negative, *i.e.* fake, context words *j*'
  - ► Maximise  $1 p(w_i | w_{j'})$
- ► This is a supervised, binary, classification problem
  - SGNS aims at finding vectors that are good for distinguishing positive and negative pairs of co-occurring words

Text mining @ UdelaR - <u>http://mediamining.univ-lyon2.fr/people/guille/tm\_udelar/</u> - Oct. 2018

## GLOBAL VECTORS Aka glove

### MODEL

#### ► Data

► *X*, a square matrix that describes the number of cooccurrence between each of the *m* words of the vocabulary

#### ► Embeddings

- ►  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ : target embeddings
- ►  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  : context embeddings
- ► GloVe specifies a matrix factorization problem
  - ►  $\log(X) \simeq U^{\top}V + B^U + B^V$
  - The more two words co-occur, the more their vectors should be similar

## PARAMETER ESTIMATION

Weighted least-square

► 
$$J_{U,V,b^U,b^V} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}) (u_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_j + b_i^U + b_j^V - \log(x_{ij}))^2$$

► Where *f* is the weighting function

- ➤ It zeros out elements of the sum where the log is undefined
- ➤ It allows for a lower time-complexity than SGNS due to Zipf's law (0 entries account for approx. 95% of *X*)

Text mining @ UdelaR - <u>http://mediamining.univ-lyon2.fr/people/guille/tm\_udelar/</u> - Oct. 2018

## **IMPLEMENTATION OF SGNS AND GLOVE**

► SGNS is implemented with stochastic gradient descent

- ► Initialize randomly U and V
- ➤ For each positive (γ = 1) or negative (γ = -1) pair of words, update the vectors for words *i* and *j* in the direction of the gradient of log(σ(γ u<sub>i</sub><sup>T</sup>v<sub>j</sub>)), according to a fixed (per iteration) learning rate
- ► GloVe is implemented with AdaGrad
  - Variant of the stochastic gradient descent, where the learning rate is automatically adapted, for each word and each dimension, throughout learning, which helps converging faster