

Approximation Algorithms, M. QUEYRANNE

Lecture 3
August 5, 2009

Vijay VAZIRANI, Approximation Algorithms, Springer 2001

Lecture 1 : Chap 1, 3

Lecture 2 : Chap 3, 12

Lecture 3 : Toshihiro FUJITO, "Approximation Algorithms for Submodular Set Cover with Applications",
IEICE TRANS. INF. & SYST. E83-D, 480-487, 2000
see also Vazirani Chaps 2 (except 2.3), 13, 15

(Chap 14)

Recall the Submodular Set Cover (SSC) problem

given a finite ground set N , costs $c_j > 0 \quad \forall j \in N$

nondecreasing submodular set function $f: 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

find a subset $S \subseteq N$ with $f(S) = f(N)$ and least cost $c(S) = \sum_{j \in S} c_j$
 $\min \{c(S) : S \subseteq N \text{ and } f(S) = f(N)\}$

Integer Programming Formulation

For $S \subseteq N$ the contraction f_S of f onto $N \setminus S$ is $f_S: 2^{N \setminus S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

defined by $f_S(x) = f(x \cup S) - f(S) \quad \forall x \subseteq N \setminus S$

let $f_S(j) := f_S(\{j\}) = f(S \cup \{j\}) - f(S)$ marginal increase in f
when adding j to S

(since f is submodular $f_S(j) \geq f_T(j) \quad \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq N \setminus \{j\}$)

Let $x_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if we choose } j \text{ in the solution} \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

$$(IP) \begin{cases} \min \sum_{j \in N} c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j \in N \setminus S} f_S(j) x_j \geq f_S(N \setminus S) \quad \forall S \subseteq N \\ \quad \quad \quad 0 \leq x_j \leq 1, \text{ integer} \quad \forall j \in N \end{cases}$$

example: $N = \{1, 2\}$

$$\left[\begin{array}{lll} \min & c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & f_\phi(1)x_1 + f_\phi(2)x_2 \geq f_\phi(N) & S = \emptyset \\ & f_{\{1\}}(2)x_2 \geq f_{\{1\}}(2) & S = \{1\} \\ & f_{\{2\}}(1)x_1 \geq f_{\{2\}}(1) & S = \{2\} \\ & 0x_1 + 0x_2 \geq 0 & S = \{1, 2\} \\ & 0 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq 1, \text{ integer} & \end{array} \right]$$

Lemma: (IP) is a valid formulation for SSC

proof: For any $T \subseteq N$ let x^T be its characteristic vector ($x_j^T = 1$ if $j \in T$ or 0 otherwise)

If T is a feasible solution to SSC, $f(T) = f(N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For any } S \subseteq N \quad \sum_{j \in N \setminus S} f_S(j) x_j^T &= \sum_{j \in T \setminus S} f_S(j) \\ &\geq f_S(T \setminus S) \quad \text{because } f \text{ is submodular} \\ &= f(T) - f(S) \quad \text{by def. of } f_S \\ &= f(N) - f(S) \quad \text{because } T \text{ feasible} \\ &= f_S(N \setminus S) \end{aligned}$$

so x^T is a feasible solution to (IP)

Conversely, let x^T be a feasible solution to (IP)

$$0 = \sum_{j \in N \setminus T} f_T(j) x_j^T \geq f_T(N \setminus T) = f(N) - f(T) \Rightarrow f(T) = f(N) \quad \text{so } T \text{ is feasible to SSC} \quad \text{QED}$$

Let (LP) denote the LP relaxation of (IP), relaxing also $x \leq 1$. Its LP dual is

$$(D) \quad \left[\begin{array}{ll} \max & \sum_{S \subseteq N} f_S(N \setminus S) y_S \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{S: j \notin S} f_S(j) y_S \leq c_j \quad \forall j \in N \\ & y_S \geq 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Greedy algorithm for SSC (a "Dual Fitting algorithm" in disguise!)

- start with $F = \emptyset$

- add to F clients that are "most attractive":

$$\min_{j \in N - F} c_j / f_F(j) \quad \text{"bang for buck ratio"}$$

Remark: if $f_F(j) = 0$ then this ratio $= +\infty$ (since $c_j > 0$)

Finally: $F := \emptyset$

while $f(F) < f(N)$ do

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{choose } j \in \arg \min \left\{ c_j / f_F(j) : j \in N - F \text{ with } f_F(j) > 0 \right\} \\ F := F \cup \{j\} \end{array} \right.$$

Remark: for the Vertex Cover special case of SSC, this greedy algorithm coincides with the Max-Degree Greedy algorithm

(since $c_j = 1$ and $f_F(j) = \text{degree of node } j \text{ in current graph induced by } N - F$)

Recall the harmonic numbers $H(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$

Theorem: If f is integer valued, nondecreasing and submodular and $f(\emptyset) = 0$
 then the approximation ratio of the Greedy algorithm for SSC is
 smaller than $H(\max_{j \in N} f(j))$

Lemma: Let $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_T$ be T real numbers

$d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_T \geq 1$ be T integers

$$\text{then } A := a_1 d_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} (a_{t+1} - a_t) d_{t+1} \leq \left(\max_K a_K d_K \right) H(d_1)$$

proof of Lemma: $a_t \leq (\max_K a_K d_K) \frac{1}{d_t} \quad \forall t = 1 \dots T$

$$\text{regrouping } A = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} a_t (d_t - d_{t+1}) + a_T d_T$$

$$\leq \left(\max_K a_K d_K \right) \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \left(1 - \frac{d_{t+1}}{d_t} \right) + 1 \right]$$

$$\text{If } d_t > d_{t+1} \geq 1, \quad 1 - \frac{d_{t+1}}{d_t} = (d_t - d_{t+1}) \frac{1}{d_t} \leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{d_{t+1}+1} + \frac{1}{d_{t+1}+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_t}}_{\substack{d_t - d_{t+1} \text{ terms} \\ \text{each} \geq \frac{1}{d_t}}} \quad 3-4$$

$$\text{therefore } \sum_{t=1}^T \left(1 - \frac{d_{t+1}}{d_t}\right) + 1 \leq \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1+1} + \dots + 1 = H(d_1) \quad \text{QED}$$

Proof of Theorem: index the sets F and clients j in the algorithm

as $F_0 = \emptyset$ $F_1 = \{j_1\}$ $F_2 = \{j_1, j_2\}$... F_T is the SSC returned by the algortihm

for any $j \in N$ the marginal values $f_{F_t}(j) \geq 0$ because f nondecreasing
and $(f_{F_{t-1}}(j))_{t=1..T}$ form a nondecreasing sequence because f submodular

$$\text{Let } \theta_t := \min_{j \in N \setminus F_{t-1}} \frac{c_j}{f_{F_{t-1}}(j)} > 0$$

$$\theta_t \leq \min_{j \in N \setminus F_t} \frac{c_j}{f_{F_{t-1}}(j)} \leq \min_{j \in N \setminus F_t} \frac{c_j}{f_{F_t}(j)} = \theta_{t+1}$$

so the $(\theta_t)_{t=1..T}$ form a nondecreasing sequence

By the Lemma, for all $j \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \theta_1 f_{F_0}(j) + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} (\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t) f_{F_t}(j) \\ &\leq \left(\max_k \theta_k f_{F_{k-1}}(j) \right) H(f_{F_0}(j)) \\ &= (\quad) H(f(j)) \\ &\leq c_j H(f(j)) \leq c_j \max_k f(k) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Define a solution y to (D) by $y_{F^0} = \theta / H(\max_k f(k))$

$$y_{F^t} = (\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t) / H(\max_k f(k)) \text{ for } t = 1 \dots T-1$$

all other $y_s = 0$

Then y is a feasible solution to (D) [by (1) divided by $\max_k f(k)$]

The objective value of the Greedy solution F^T is

$$c(F^T) = \sum_{t=1}^T c_{j_t} = \sum_{t=1}^T \theta^t f_{F^{t-1}}(j_t)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^T \theta^t (f(F^t) - f(F^{t-1}))$$

$$= \theta^{-1} (f(N) - f(F^0)) + \sum_{t=2}^T (\theta^t - \theta^{t-1}) (f(N) - f(F^{t-1}))$$

$$= H(\max_k f(k)) \left[y_{F^0} f_{F^0}(N \setminus F^0) + \sum_{t=2}^T y_{F^{t-1}} (N \setminus F^{t-1}) \right]$$

$$= H(\max_k f(k)) \sum_{S \subseteq N} f_S(N \setminus S) y_S$$

$$\leq H(\max_k f(k)) \text{ OPT}_{SSC} \text{ by Weak LP duality and relaxation}$$

QED

Remark:

For IC $H(\max_k f(k)) \leq H(\max_k \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ik})$ approximately, the log of the largest column sum in A

since for IC, $f(k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \min\{a_{ik}, b_i\} \leq a_{ik}$

For Set Cover: $H(\max_k f(k)) \leq H(\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} |S|)$ approx. log of the largest cardinality of a given subset

For Vertex Cover $\underline{\quad}$ $\leq H(\max_{k \in V} \text{degree}(k))$ approx. log. of the maximum degree

Dual Greedy algorithm - a Primal Dual algorithm

Main Phase

- initialize $y := 0$, $F := \emptyset$, $l := 0$

- while $f(F) < f(N)$ do

$$l := l + 1$$

$$j_l := \underset{j \in N \setminus F}{\operatorname{argmin}}$$

$$c_j = \frac{\sum_{S: j \notin S} f_S(j) y_S}{f_F(j)}$$

(element of N for which the dual constraint becomes binding)

$$\text{let } y_F := \frac{c_{j_l} - \sum_{S: j \notin S} f_S(j_l) y_S}{f_F(j_l)}$$

(the maximum value of y_F that keeps y feasible)

$$F := F \cup \{j_l\}$$

(Optional) Second Phase: ("cleanup", to insure the solution F is minimal)

for $k = l$ down to 1 do

if $f(F \setminus \{j_k\}) = f(N)$ then $F := F \setminus \{j_k\}$

Return F

Note that the algorithm returns a SSC F

Recall that for any $S \subseteq N$, $f_S: 2^{N \setminus S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nondecreasing, submodular with $f(\emptyset) = 0$

so we can define a SSC problem on $N \setminus S$ with function f_S

$$\min_{T \subseteq N \setminus S} \{c(T) : f_S(T) = f_S(N)\}$$

which is the remaining SSC problem if we fix S in the solution

(i.e., the best way of extending S to a SSC for the original problem)

Theorem: The cost of the SSC returned by the Dual Greedy algorithm

$$\text{is at most } \max_{\substack{S \subseteq N \\ X \in \text{SSC} \\ f_N(N \setminus S, f_S)}} \frac{\sum_{j \in X} f_S(j)}{f_S(N \setminus S)} \text{ OPT}$$

- For large cover where $N = \{1..n\}$ set of column of matrix A

$$M = \{1..m\} \text{ --- row --- ---}$$

$$f(S) = \sum_{i=1}^m \min \{a_i(S), b_i\} \text{ where } a_i(S) = \sum_{j \in S} a_{ij}$$

for any $S \subseteq N$ let $M_S = \{i \in M : a_i(S) < b_i\}$ the set of rows not covered by the partial solution S

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } f_S(N \setminus S) &= \underbrace{\sum_{i \in M} b_i}_{f(N)} - \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i \in M_S} a_i(S) + \sum_{i \in M} b_i \right)}_{f(S)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in M_S} \underbrace{(b_i - a_i(S))}_{> 0, \text{ integer}} \geq |M_S| \end{aligned}$$

for any set cover X in $(N \setminus S, f_S)$ and any $j \in N \setminus S$

$$f_S(j) \leq \sum_{i \in M_S} a_{ij}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } \sum_{j \in X} f_S(j) &\leq \sum_{j \in X} \sum_{i \in M_S} a_{ij} = \sum_{i \in M_S} \sum_{j \in X} a_{ij} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in M_S} \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} \leq |M_S| \underbrace{\max_{i \in M} \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij}}_{\text{max row sum of } A} \\ &\quad \text{all } a_{ij} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

the approximation bound in the Theorem

$$\max_{S, X} \frac{\sum_{j \in X} f_S(j)}{f_S(N \setminus S)} \leq \max_{i \in M} \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} \quad \text{max row sum bound}$$

- For Set Cover, this maximum row sum is the maximum frequency f of an element in the given sets
- For Vertex Cover, $f=2$ and the Dual Greedy algorithm is a 2-approximation!

Proof of Theorem

Note that when the j_t -constraint becomes binding, and thereafter

$$\sum_{S: j \notin S} f_S(j) y_S = c_j$$

The cost of the returned SSC F_T is

$$\begin{aligned} C(F^T) &= \sum_{j \in F_T} c_j = \sum_{j \in F_T} \sum_{S: j \notin S} f_S(j) y_S \\ &= \sum_{S \subseteq N} \left(\sum_{j \in F_T \setminus S} f_S(j) \right) y_S \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left(\sum_{j \in F_T \setminus F_t} f_{F_t}(j) \right) y_{F_t} \\ &\leq \left(\max_{t=1..T-1} \frac{\sum_{j \in F_T \setminus F_t} f_{F_t}(j)}{f_{F_t}(N \setminus F_t)} \right) \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} f_{F_t}(N \setminus F_t) y_{F_t} \\ &\leq (\text{max ratio in Thm}) \text{OPT} \\ &\leq (\text{max ratio in Thm}) \text{OPT} \quad \text{QED} \end{aligned}$$

See Fig. 7 for other covering problems (e.g., with capacity constraints) that can be modeled as SSC problems

Approximation Algorithms based on Solving a Primal LP relaxation

3-9

given an integer programming formulation (or relaxation) of a combinatorial optimization problem

- solve the LP relaxation

- use ("round") the LP solution to get a feasible (integer) solution to the original combinatorial optimization problem.

Weighted Set Covering problem

given a ground set M

a collection \mathcal{S} of subsets $S \subseteq M$, with weights (costs) $c_S > 0$

find a covering of M from \mathcal{S} with least total cost

IP formulation: let $x_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \text{ is chosen} \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SC} \quad & \min \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} c_S x_S \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}: \\ i \in S}} x_S \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in M \\ & x \geq 0, \text{ integer} \end{aligned}$$

Let x^{LP} denote an optimum solution to LP relaxation

• Roundy Method 1: round every x_S^{LP} up $x_S^1 = \lceil x_S^{LP} \rceil$
 this defines a feasible solution
 its cost could be much bigger than the optimum...

Recall that f is the maximum frequency of an element i in the given sets $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}$: $f = \max_{i \in M} |\{S \in \mathcal{S} : i \in S\}|$

• Roundy Method 2: round every $x_S^{LP} \geq 1/f$ up to $x_S^2 = 1$
 every other x_S^{LP} down to $x_S^2 = 0$

for every i $\underbrace{\sum_{S:i \in S} x_S^{LP}}_{\text{at most } f \text{ terms}} \geq 1$

\Rightarrow at least one of these x_S^{LP} (for S containing i) must be $\geq 1/f$

\Rightarrow at least one of these $x_S^2 = 1$

so x^2 is feasible

$$\text{and } \sum_S c_S x_S^2 \leq \sum_S c_S (f x_S^{LP}) = f \sum_S c_S x_S^{LP} \leq f OPT$$

the same performance guarantee as from the Dual Greedy algorithm!

(for Vertex Cover: another 2-approximation!)

Randomized Rounding

$0 \leq x_S^{LP} \leq 1$ can be interpreted as a probability

- for each let $x_S^R = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } x_S^{LP} \\ 0 & \text{--- --- --- --- } 1 - x_S^{LP} \end{cases}$

independently for all $S \in \mathcal{I}$

let C be the resulting (random) subset (defined by its characteristic vector x^R)

$$\text{its expected cost } E_C(C) = \sum_S c_S \text{Prob}\{S \in C\} = \sum_S c_S x_S^{LP} = c x^{LP}$$

Take $a \in N$ let k be the frequency of a in \mathcal{I}

$$\text{since } x^{LP} \text{ is feasible } \sum_{S:a \in S} x_S^{LP} \geq 1$$

$$\text{Prob}\{a \notin C\} = \text{Prob}\{S \notin C \text{ and } a \in S\} = \prod_{S:a \in S} \text{Prob}\{S \notin C\}$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{\text{"not covered} \\ \text{by "}}}{=} \prod_{S:a \in S} (1 - x_S^{LP}) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{k})^k \leq \frac{1}{e}$$

Draw an independent random sample of $d \log n$ such sets \mathcal{C}
 where d satisfies $(\frac{1}{e})^{d \log n} \leq \frac{1}{4n}$ and let \mathcal{C}' be their union

$$\therefore \text{Prob}\{\alpha \notin \mathcal{C}'\} \leq (\frac{1}{e})^{d \log n} \leq \frac{1}{4n} \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in M$$

$$\text{Prob}\{\text{some } \alpha \notin \mathcal{C}'\} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in M} \text{Prob}\{\alpha \notin \mathcal{C}'\} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

The expected cost

$$E(c(\mathcal{C}')) = cx^{\omega^0} d \log n$$

by Markov inequality $\text{Prob}\{X > k E[X]\} \leq 1/k$ for $X \geq 0$
 since $c(\mathcal{C}')$ is a nonnegative random variable

$$\text{Prob}\{c(\mathcal{C}') > 4cx^{\omega^0} d \log n\} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \text{Prob}\{\text{(some } \alpha \notin \mathcal{C}') \text{ or } (c(\mathcal{C}') \geq 4cx^{\omega^0} d \log n)\}$$

$$\leq \text{Prob}\{\text{some } \alpha \notin \mathcal{C}'\} + \text{Prob}\{c(\mathcal{C}') > 4cx^{\omega^0} d \log n\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\therefore \text{Prob}(\mathcal{C}' \text{ covers } M \text{ and } c(\mathcal{C}') \leq 4cx^{\omega^0} d \log n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

\Rightarrow with high probability we obtain a cover with cost no more than $(4d \log n) \text{OPT}$